

NUCLEI OF POINT SETS OF SIZE $q+1$ CONTAINED IN THE UNION OF TWO LINES IN $PG(2, q)$

G. KORCHMÁROS and F. MAZZOCCA

Received November 14, 1990

Revised February 20, 1991

We give a complete classification for pairs $(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$ where $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is the set of all nuclei of a set \mathcal{B} of $q+1$ not collinear points contained in the union of two lines in a desarguesian plane $PG(2, q)$ of order q . We also obtain some new results concerning blocking sets of Rédei type and certain point-sets of type $[0, 1, m, n]$ in $PG(2, q)$.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{B} be any set of $q+1$ points in a desarguesian plane Π of order q . A *nucleus* of \mathcal{B} is a point x such that each line of Π through x meets \mathcal{B} exactly once. It follows that x is not on \mathcal{B} . We shall denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ the set of all nuclei of \mathcal{B} .

The main result on nuclei due to Blokhuis and Wilbrink [1] states that if \mathcal{B} admits at least q nuclei then \mathcal{B} is a line of Π . This result has been recently refined by A. A. Bruen and F. Mazzocca [4], and it has been also observed that some previous results on Galois geometries can be interpreted as results about nuclei. For instance, the main lemma in [8] is equivalent to the following theorem on nuclei: If the vertices of a triangle are nuclei of \mathcal{B} , then the sides meet \mathcal{B} in three collinear points.

A typical problem on nuclei is to classify the possible configurations of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ for point sets \mathcal{B} having assigned properties. In [6] all pairs $(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$ have been determined under the assumption that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \mathcal{B}$ contains all points of an irreducible conic.

In this paper we deal with a set \mathcal{B} of $q+1$ points not on a line but contained in the union of two lines. This special case is close related with blocking-sets of Rédei type as well as certain point sets of type $[0, 1, m, n]$ in $PG(2, q)$. In Section 2, we give a complete classification of all pairs $(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$ consisting of such a special set \mathcal{B} and its *nuclei set* $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$. Our methods involve some properties of projectivity groups over Galois fields and hence do not work in an arbitrary plane.

2. Preliminaries and some examples

Let Π be a projective plane containing a proper subplane Π_o . Take any two lines L_1 and L_2 of Π which belong to Π_o , and set $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ where $\mathcal{B}_1 = L_1 \cap \Pi_o$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = L_2 - \Pi_o$. Clearly \mathcal{B} is contained in the union of two lines, namely L_1 and L_2 . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ consists of all points of Π_o not on the lines L_1 and L_2 . This example can be generalized when Π contains a chain of proper subplanes of Π , say $\Pi_o, \Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s$, such that $\Pi_{i-1} \subset \Pi_i$ for $i=1, \dots, s$. In fact, if we take any two lines L_1 and L_2 of Π belonging to Π_o and set

$$(1) \quad L_i(0) = L_i \cap \Pi_o, \quad L_i(j) = (L_i \cap \Pi_j) - \Pi_{j-1}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, s;$$

If, for every decomposition $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ of $I = \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$ as the disjoint union of I_1 and I_2 , we define

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{B}_i = \bigcup_{j \in I_i} L_i(j), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ is a set of $q+1$ points contained in $L_1 \cup L_2$, and it turns out that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}) = \Pi_o - (L_1 \cup L_2)$.

From now on we assume that $\Pi = PG(2, q)$, $q = p^r$, p prime and $r \geq 2$. We are going to show that the above examples are indeed special cases in a large collection of point sets \mathcal{B} of size $q+1$ which are contained in the union of two lines and admit at least two nuclei.

Our methods involve some known properties of affinities and projectivities over a finite field $GF(q)$. We quote them in the text two paragraphs.

We shall denote by Σ the group of all affinities of the affine line $AG(1, q)$ over $GF(q)$. Every subgroup of Σ has order tp^h where $t \mid p^d - 1$ and $d \mid \gcd[r, h]$; and conversely for such a pair (t, h) there always exists a subgroup of order tp^h , see [5] Chapter XII. These subgroups can be described as follows: Let us consider $GF(q)$ as a vector space over a subfield $GF(q')$ of order $q' = p^d$, and take a subspace \mathbf{B} of dimension h_1 . Clearly $GF(q)$ contains \mathbf{B} as an additive subgroup of order p^h where $h = dh_1$, and for every $t \mid (p^d - 1)$, $GF(q')$ has a multiplicative subgroup \mathbf{A} of order t . Since $a\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}$ holds for every $a \in GF(q')$, the affinities $y' = ay + b$, where $a \in \mathbf{A}$, $b \in \mathbf{B}$, form a group \mathbf{G} of order tp^h . For $a=1$ we have translation, and the translation group \mathbf{T} of \mathbf{G} is isomorphic to \mathbf{B} . Each other affinity in \mathbf{G} is a dilatation, and the dilatations with a common center form a dilatation group \mathbf{D} isomorphic to \mathbf{A} . Therefore, \mathbf{G} is a frobenius group with nucleus \mathbf{T} and complement \mathbf{D} , and hence \mathbf{G} preserves \mathbf{B} and acts on it as a transitive permutation group. Any other orbit \mathbf{O} under \mathbf{G} has length tp^h in such a way that \mathbf{G} acts on \mathbf{O} as a regular permutation group. There exist $m = (p^{r-h} - 1)/t$ orbits of the latter type, thus $\mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{O}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{O}_m$ is the partition of $AG(1, q)$ into \mathbf{G} -orbits.

Take any two distinct lines L_1 and L_2 in $PG(2, q)$ and put $z = L_1 \cap L_2$. For any point u not on either line, we shall consider two perspectivities with center u : one π_i , sending L_1 to L_2 and another, say p_u , sending L_2 to L_1 . Any product $\pi_u p_v$ maps the affine line $L_1 - \{z\}$ onto itself. Precisely, $\pi_u p_v$ is an affinity and if u is a left fixed and v runs over all points, such products give all affinities, each exactly

once. Similarly for the affine line $L_2 - \{z\}$ and the products $p_u \pi_v$. Choose a frame for $L_1 - \{z\}$ and a frame for $L_2 - \{z\}$, and denote by o the common point of the lines through their origins and their unit points, respectively. Then both perspectivities with center o have the property that any two corresponding points possess the same coordinate. For a subgroup \mathbf{G} of Σ , let \mathbf{G}_i ($i=1,2$) be the natural representation of \mathbf{G} on the affine line $L_i - \{z\}$. Then $\mathbf{G}_2 = \pi_o \mathbf{G}_1 p_o$ and $\mathbf{G}_1 = p_o \mathbf{G}_2 \pi_o$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{B}^i \cup \mathbf{O}_i^1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{O}_i^m$, $m = (p^{r-h} - 1)/t$, be the partition into \mathbf{G}_i -orbits. Then \mathbf{B}^1 and \mathbf{B}^2 as well as \mathbf{O}_i^1 and \mathbf{O}_i^2 correspond by both π_o and p_o .

Now pick either \mathbf{B}^1 or \mathbf{B}^2 and, for every i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), one between \mathbf{O}_i^1 and \mathbf{O}_i^2 . The union of these orbits together with z is a set \mathcal{B} satisfying our conditions, namely \mathcal{B} consists of $q+1$ non collinear points each point of \mathcal{B} lies on L_1 or L_2 .

Clearly \mathbf{G}_1 preserves $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B} \cap L_1$. It may be, however, that the full affine group \mathbf{H}_1 mapping \mathcal{B}_1 onto itself is larger than \mathbf{G}_1 . If this is the case, then a proper multiple t' of t divides $p^d - 1$ and \mathbf{H}_1 consists of all affinities of the form $y' = ay + b$, $a \in \mathbf{A}'$, $b \in \mathbf{B}$, $a \neq 0$, where \mathbf{A}' is the subgroup of order t' is the multiplicative group of $GF(q)$. Furthermore $\mathbf{H}_1 = \pi_o \mathbf{H}_1 p_o$ is the full affine group preserving $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B} \cap L_2$.

Let U be the set of all points u such that $\mathbf{G}_1 = \{p_u \pi_o \mid u \in U\}$, or equivalently, $\mathbf{G}_2 = \{\pi_u p_o \mid u \in U\}$. Note that $|U| = |\mathbf{G}|$. We are in a position to prove the following

Proposition 1. *Each point of U is a nucleus of \mathcal{B} . But $U = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if \mathbf{G}_1 is the full affine group preserving \mathcal{B}_1 .*

Proof. Let $u \notin L_1 \cup L_2$ be any point. For any line L through u which is different from uz , put $\ell_1 = L \cap L_1$ and $\ell_2 = L \cap L_2$. Clearly $\pi_u(\ell_1) = \ell_2$. Now assume $u \in U$. Then $\pi_u = \pi_o g_1$ for a suitable element $g_1 \in \mathbf{G}_1$. Hence, if $\ell_1 \in \mathbf{B}^1$ then $\ell_2 \in \mathbf{B}^2$, and also $\ell_1 \in \mathbf{O}_i^1$ implies $\ell_2 \in \mathbf{O}_i^2$. This means that L meets \mathcal{B} in exactly one point, hence we get $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$. Conversely, let u be a nucleus of \mathcal{B} . Then $p_u \pi_o$ is an affinity g_1 preserving \mathcal{B}_1 . If $g_1 \in \mathbf{G}_1$ then $u \in U$. Otherwise \mathbf{G}_1 is a proper subgroup of $\langle \mathbf{G}_1, g_1 \rangle$ and so g_1 does not preserve all orbits \mathbf{O}_i^1 . From this $u \notin U$ follows. Finally, if we make the assumption that an affinity $h_1 \notin \mathbf{G}_1$ preserves \mathcal{B}_1 , then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ turns out to be larger than U since the center of the perspectivity $\pi_o h_1$ is a nucleus of \mathcal{B} different from the points of U .

3. A classification for pairs $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}))$

Let \mathcal{B} be any set of $q+1$ non collinear points in $PG(2, q)$ contained in the union of two lines L_1 and L_2 such that $z = L_1 \cap L_2$ belongs to \mathcal{B} . For $i=1,2$, put $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B} \cap L_i$ and assume that $|\mathcal{B}_i| \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ denote the set of all nuclei of \mathcal{B} . In general $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is empty. It is clear however that the point P not on $L_1 \cup L_2$ is a nucleus of \mathcal{B} when the projection from L_1 on L_2 with center P sends $\mathcal{B}_1 - \{z\}$ to $L_2 - \mathcal{B}_2$. Thus $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is not empty for a large family of sets \mathcal{B} .

Our aim is to show that $|\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})| \geq 2$ is possible only for very special choices of the point set \mathcal{B} on $L_1 \cup L_2$. Precisely, the only possibilities are the examples given in section 2.

Let u be any point not on either line, and let π_u (resp. p_u) denote the perspectivity with center u which sends L_1 to L_2 (resp. L_2 to L_1). Put $\mathbf{G}_1 =$

$\{\pi_u p_v \mid \forall u, v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})\}$ and $\mathbf{G}_2 = \{p_v \pi_u \mid \forall u, v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})\}$. Clearly \mathbf{G}_i ($i=1,2$) is a set of affinities of the affine line $L_i - \{z\}$ with the following property:

Proposition 2. *For $i=1,2$, \mathbf{G}_i leaves \mathcal{B}_i invariant.*

Actually we can say more:

Proposition 3. *For $i=1,2$, \mathbf{G}_i is a group of affinities of the affine line $L_i - \{z\}$.*

Proof. Let u be any fixed point $u \notin L_1 \cup L_2$. As is known, for any two points w and s not on either line, we can find a point v such that $\pi_w p_s = \pi_u p_v$, and also a point v' such that $\pi_w p_s = \pi_{v'} p_u$. To see that \mathbf{G}_1 is actually a group, it suffices to observe that if $u, w, s \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$, then both v and v' are also in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$. Similarly for \mathbf{G}_2 .

From now on we fix a nucleus o of \mathcal{B} . We can write

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = \{\pi_o p_v \mid v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})\} \text{ and } \mathbf{G}_2 = \{p_v \pi_o \mid v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})\}.$$

From this we infer

Proposition 4. *For any fixed nucleus o of \mathcal{B} , we have both $\mathbf{G}_2 = p_o \mathbf{G}_1 \pi_o$ and $\mathbf{G}_1 = \pi_o \mathbf{G}_2 p_o$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{G}_1 \cong \mathbf{G}_2$.*

For $i=1,2$, choose a frame for $L_i - \{z\}$ in such a way that \mathbf{G}_i coincides with a group \mathbf{G} consisting of all affinities of equations $y' = ay + b$, $a \in \mathbf{A}$ and $b \in \mathbf{B}$, where \mathbf{B} is an additive subgroup of order p^h of $GF(q)$, $q = p^r$, and \mathbf{A} is a multiplicative subgroup of order t of $GF(q)$ such that $t \mid (p^{\gcd[h,r]} - 1)$. Note that \mathbf{G}_i is the full affine group mapping \mathcal{B}_i ($i=1,2$) onto itself because of Proposition 1. As in Section 2, put $m = (p^{r-h} - 1)/t$ and let $\mathbf{B}^i \cup \mathbf{O}_1^i \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{O}_m^i$ be the partition of $L_i - \{z\}$ into \mathbf{G}_i -orbits.

By Proposition 4, the line joining a point of $L_1 - \{z\}$ with the point of $L_2 - \{z\}$ having the same parametric coordinate passes through o . Then \mathbf{B}^1 and \mathbf{B}^2 as well as \mathbf{O}_i^1 and \mathbf{O}_i^2 correspond by both π_o and p_o . Since $o \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$, this implies that \mathcal{B} cannot contain both \mathcal{B}^1 and \mathcal{B}^2 as well as both \mathbf{O}_i^1 and \mathbf{O}_i^2 ($i=1, \dots, m$).

As \mathcal{B} consists of $q+1$ points, it turns out that \mathcal{B} contains either \mathcal{B}^1 or \mathcal{B}^2 and, for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), just one of \mathbf{O}_i^1 and \mathbf{O}_i^2 . This proves that \mathcal{B} is one of the examples given in section 2, and we get the following *canonical form*:

To any pair $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}))$ there correspond an additive subgroup \mathcal{B} of order p^h and a multiplicative subgroup \mathbf{A} of order t in $GF(q)$ such that $a\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}$ holds for every $a \in \mathbf{A}$ (and hence $t \mid p^{\gcd[r,h]} - 1$): Let $\mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{O}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{O}_m$, $m = (p^{r-h} - 1)/t$, be the partition of $GF(q)$ where $\mathbf{O}_i = \{ay_i + b \mid a \in \mathbf{A}, b \in \mathbf{B}\}$ and y_1, \dots, y_m are suitable element in $GF(q)$. Choose a frame in $PG(2, q)$ such that L_1 is the x -axis and L_2 is the line with equation $y = 1$ and put $\mathbf{B}^1 = \{(b, 0, 1) \mid b \in \mathbf{B}\}$, $\mathbf{B}^2 = \{(b, 1, 1) \mid (b \in \mathbf{B})\}$, $\mathbf{O}_i^1 = \{(c, 0, 1) \mid c \in \mathbf{O}_i\}$ and $\mathbf{O}_i^2 = \{(c, 1, 1) \mid c \in \mathbf{O}_i\}$. Now pick either \mathbf{B}^1 or \mathbf{B}^2 and, for every i ($i \leq m$), one between \mathbf{O}_i^1 and \mathbf{O}_i^2 . The union of these point sets together with $L_1 \cap L_2$ is a set \mathcal{B} of length $q+1$ contained in the union of L_1 and L_2 , and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ contains the set $\mathcal{U} = \{(-a, b, 1) \mid a \in \mathbf{A}, b \in \mathbf{B}\}$. The linear collineation group Γ consisting of all maps $x' = x$, $y' = ay + b$, $a \in \mathbf{A}$, $b \in \mathbf{B}$ preserves \mathcal{B} , and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{U}$ if and only if Γ is the full linear collineation group which preserves \mathcal{B} and both L_1 and L_2 .

We point out some special cases corresponding to special choices of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} .

1. — If both \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are trivial, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ consists of a single point.

2. — If \mathbf{A} is trivial, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is a set of p^h points on the line oz .

3. — If \mathbf{B} is trivial, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is a set of t points on a line through o but z .

This is case, for instance, when $r=1$.

4. — Assume that \mathbf{B} is the additive and \mathbf{A} is the multiplicative subgroups of a subfield $GF(q_o)$ of $GF(q)$. Then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ together with $\mathbf{B}^1 \cup \mathbf{B}^2 \cup \{z\}$ forms a projective subplane $\Pi_o = PG(2, q_o)$. Furthermore, since \mathbf{G} preserves any affine subline over a subfield of $GF(q)$ containing $GF(q_o)$, each set $L_i(j)$ given in (1) is the union of orbits under \mathbf{G}_i . Hence $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ with \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 as in (2) turns out to be a special case of the present construction.

Finally we prove

Proposition 5. *Apart from the cases 1), 2), 3), $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is a set of type $[0, 1, t, p^h]$, $t = p^d - 1$, $d | \gcd[r, h]$, with the following extra properties:*

- (i) *all p^h -secants of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ are concurrent at the common point of L_1 and L_2 ;*
- (ii) *each t -secant of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ meets both \mathbf{B}^1 and \mathbf{B}^2 .*

Proof. For any point $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$, the elements of \mathbf{G}_1 can be written as products $p_v \pi_u$ where v runs over all points of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$. Such a product is a translation on the affine line $L_1 - \{z\}$ is and only if v is on line uz . From this we infer that uz meets $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ in precisely p^h points. Otherwise we have a dilatation on $L_1 - \{z\}$ whose center is the common point w_1 of L_1 and uv . Here w_1 belongs to \mathbf{B}^1 . Since any dilatation is contained in a maximal dilatation subgroup of order t , we see that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ and uw have t common points. Similarly for \mathbf{G}_2 and \mathbf{B}^2 . Finally, if none of the cases 1), 2), 3) occurs, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ admits both 1-secants as well as missing lines and hence it has type $[0, 1, t, p^h]$.

4. Some applications of the classification theorem.

We begin with a problem related to blocking sets of Rédei type in $PG(2, q)$, i.e. blocking sets of size $q+k$ containing k collinear points. The reason of this term comes from a closed connection between the existence problem for such blocking sets and one of the main results in Rédei's book ([7], p. 226), having to do with difference quotient in finite fields. This connection was pointed out by Bruen and we give a brief account here.

Given a set S of q non collinear points in $PG(2, q)$, if L is a line missing S , then the Rédei set $R_S(L)$ consists of all points of L lying on some secants of S . If $R_S(L)$ is properly contained in L , then (S, L) is called a Rédei pair, and the set $S(L) = S \cup R_S(L)$ turns out to be a blocking set of Rédei type such that $k = |R_S(L)|$. Observe that if (S, L) is a Rédei pair then L contains a point u which is a nucleus of $S \cup \{z\}$ where $z \in L$ is any point different from u . The converse is also true. In other words, there exists a line L for which (S, L) is a Rédei pair if and only if there exist two points u, v not on S such that u is a nucleus of $S \cup \{v\}$.

Bruen raised following problem: *For which line L missing S is the blocking set $S(L)$ of minimal cardinality?* In [3], he proved that for any line L such that

$|R_S(L)| < \frac{q}{2} + 1$ holds, $S(L)$ is of minimal cardinality. Here we investigate the special case where S is contained in the union of two lines.

Proposition 6. *Let S be a set of q non-collinear points in $PG(2, q)$, $q = p^r$ and p prime, contained in the union two lines L_1 and L_2 .*

If $z = L_1 \cap L_2$ is not on S and $\mathcal{B} = S \cup \{z\}$, then

- (I) *a Rédei pair (S, L) exists if and only if \mathcal{B} has a nucleus;*
- (II) *(S, L) is a Rédei pair if and only if L passes through z and meets the set $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ of all nuclei of \mathcal{B} .*
- (III) *for any Rédei pair (S, L) , one has $|R_S(L)| = p^r + 1 - p^h$ where h depends only on S .*

If $z = L_1 \cap L_2$ is on S , then

- (IV) *a Rédei pair (S, L) exists if and only if there is a point $v \in (L_1 \cap L_2) - S$ such that the set $\mathcal{B} = S \cup \{v\}$ has a nucleus;*
- (V) *(S, L) is a Rédei pair if and only if L passes through v and meets the set $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N})$ of all nuclei of \mathcal{B} .*
- (VI) *for any Rédei pair (S, L) , one has $|R_S(L)| = p^r + 1 - t$ where t is a divisor of $p^r - 1$ depending only on S ;*

Proof. Assume first that $z = L_1 \cap L_2$ is not on S . Suppose that (S, L) is a Rédei pair. If u is any point of $L - R_S(L)$, then the lines joining u to the points of S are pairwise different. Since $|S| = q$, uz turns out to be the unique line missing S . Therefore $L = uz$ and u is a nucleus of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$. Conversely, let L be a line through z which meets $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$. If $u \in L \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N})$, then $u \in L - R_S(L)$, thus (S, L) is a Rédei pair. This completes the proof of both (I) and (II). We have also shown that if (S, L) is a Rédei pair then $L - R_S(L) = L \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$. Therefore, (III) follows from (i) of Proposition (5). A similar argument proves the second part of our proposition.

Another application is concerned with a special family of point sets of type $[0, 1, m, n]$. Let S be a point set of size $(n-1)m+1$ in $PG(2, q)$ having all of the following three properties:

- (x) $m > 2$;
- (xx) *all n -secants are concurrent at a point $z \in S$;*
- (xxx) *each non-tangent line through z is a n -secant.*

We shall say that S is *maximal (with respect to m)* if no $[(n-1)(m+d)+1]$ -set of type $[0, 1, m+d, n]$ satisfying (x), (xx), and (xxx) contains properly S .

Proposition 7. *If S is a point-set of size $(n-1)m+1$ of type $[0, 1, m, n]$ in $PG(2, q)$, $q = p^r$ and p prime, satisfying (x), (xx) and (xxx), then $n = p^h + 1$. If, furthermore, S is maximal with respect to m , then $m = p^d + 1$, and $d | \gcd[r, h]$.*

Proof. Let L_1 and L_2 be any two n -secants of S , and pick the n points of $S \cap L_1$ together with the $q+1-n$ points of L_2 not lying on S . We obtain a set \mathcal{B} of size $q+1$ contained in $L_1 \cup L_2$ such that each of the remaining $(m-1)(n-1)$ points of S is a nucleus of \mathcal{B} . Therefore, at least $n-1$ nuclei lie on every n -secant $\ell (\neq L_1, L_2)$ of S through $z = L_1 \cap L_2$. Since $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B} \cap L_1$ has size n , from proposition 5 we infer that ℓ contains no other nuclei, and hence $n-1 = p^h$ follows. Furthermore $\mathbf{B}^1 = S \cap L_1$,

and so $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ together with \mathcal{B} forms a $p^h t$ -set of type $[0, 1, t, p^h]$ satisfying all three of (x), (xx), and (xxx). If S is maximal, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \mathcal{B} = S$ and hence $m = t$. As we have seen, $t = p^d - 1$ where $d \mid \gcd[r, h]$, the second part of our proposition follows.

References

- [1] A. BLOKHUIS, and H. WILBRINK: A characterization of exterior lines of certain sets of points in $PG(2, q)$, *Geom. Dedicata* **23** (1987), 253–254.
- [2] A. A. BRUEN: Finite geometries — a miscellany, Lecture given at the International Conference "Combinatorics '88", Ravello 23–28 May 1988.
- [3] A. A. BRUEN: Nuclei of sets of $q+1$ points in $PG(2, q)$ and blocking sets of Rédei type, *J. Combin. Theory (A)* **55** (1990), 130–132.
- [4] A. A. BRUEN, and F. MAZZOCCA: *in preparation*.
- [5] L. E. DICKSON: *Linear groups with an exposition of the Galois field theory*, Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, 1901.
- [6] F. MAZZOCCA: Blocking sets with respect to special families of lines and nuclei of Θ_n -sets in finite n -dimensional projective and affine spaces, *preprint*.
- [7] L. RÉDEI: *Lacunary polynomials over finite fields*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [8] B. SEGRE, and G. KORCHMÁROS: Una proprietà degli insiemi di punti di un piano di Galois caratterizzante quelli formati dai punti delle singole rette esterne ad una conica, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rendiconti Cl. Sc. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, **8**, **62** (1977), 613–619.

G. Korchmáros

*Department of Mathematics
University of Basilicata
via N. Sauro 85
I-85100 Potenza, Italy
korchmaros@pzvx85.cineca.it*

F. Mazzocca

*Institute of Mathematics
2nd University of Napoli
viale Beneduce
I-81100 Caserta, Italy*